

# **Legitimate Social Change and Metaphysical Nonviolence**

**N. Wijegoonawardana**

Department of History, Faculty of Arts, University of Colombo

## **Abstract**

*This paper deals with metaphysical nonviolence foundation, as a necessary support for more effective social change. First, this paper intends to elucidate how Mahatma Gandhi's metaphysics of nonviolence influenced and contributed to the development of 21<sup>st</sup> century legitimate social change. Gandhi's metaphysics is understood as a maximal and universal rejection of violence and war. His influence on Western thought is most often represented. Recent history suggests that use of nonviolence as a strategy has the power to even overthrow dictators. Gandhi's influence in terms of political strategies that do not need a metaphysical or religious foundation, portray how pacifism can be exercised in even the most culturally restricted contexts. Furthermore, an examination of Gandhi's metaphysical foundation through his nonviolent philosophy and practice illuminates striking links with the modern theories of revolutions. The methodology will mainly be based on archival research and follow a narrative analysis form. Hence this paper will argue that the use of metaphysics of nonviolence can achieve legitimate social change through pacifism in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.*

**Key Words:** *Metaphysical Nonviolence, Legitimate Social Change and Violence.*

## **Introduction**

Non-violence today has turned into a more imminent requirement than at any other period of world politics. In the antiquated circumstances when science and innovation were in their infancy, the world could bear to be violent. Yet, in the mid-twentieth century, when the world has been isolated into two

warring camps, the need of non-violence was pivotal. This situation created another discussion which comprised of peace, exchange, and open verbal confrontation, opportunity for information, open exhibitions, choices, race of authorities, and both individual and aggregate types of activity.

Nonviolent action can be defined as a form action that does not involve violence or force. The fundamental standards of nonviolent resistance include an abstention from utilizing physical power to accomplish a point, yet additionally, a full engagement in opposing abuse and some other types of injustice. It would thus be able to be connected to restrict both direct (physical) violence and structural violence. Peacefulness is surely typically characterized as being contrary to physical violence, which could be depicted as "the utilization of physical power against another's body, against that individual's will, and that is relied upon to exact physical damage or passing upon that individual" (Bond 1994, 62).

This definition does not infer in any case that all activities without violence must be peaceful. Peacefulness may be portrayed as an immediate substitute for savage conduct. It infers deliberate restraint from expected violence, in a setting of conflict between at least two adversaries. One favorable position of the term peaceful protection over the broader peacefulness is this accentuation on cognizant and dynamic resistance to viciousness. The term 'common protection' is likewise generally utilized about the unarmed, non-military character of peaceful developments (Sémelin 1993, 27). Thus, this paper aims to elucidate how metaphysics of nonviolence can be employed as a tool in the struggle against violence in the 21st century world. Biographical accounts and sequential events of key struggles in world history are used to articulate a narrative analysis of the influence of nonviolence in the 21st century world.

Mahatma Gandhi, with his South African experience, was essential to developing the idea of nonviolence through *satyagraha*. Truth and non-violence are the twin pillars on which rested the entire framework of Mahatma Gandhi's glorious life and work. Gandhi opened a new era of nationalism within the Indian independence movement by portraying the nationalist protest in the language of nonviolence. Mahatma Gandhi presented a particular empathy related to the processes of decolonization in the twentieth century.

Gandhi comprehended that a peaceful world request is not just an otherworldly duty with respect to people all around. Rather, that it should be regulated both politically and financially in a democratic manner at all levels of administering. Peaceful protection and conflict transformation methodologies share a typical responsibility to "social change and increased justice through peaceful means" (Lederach 1995, 15). The teachings of conflict management and resolution initially emerged from peace developments and social equity activism (Dukes 1999, 169). Nonviolent protection ought to rather be seen as a vital part of contention change, offering one conceivable way to deal with accomplishing peace and equity, using different techniques for strife intercession concentrating on discussions, critical thinking and the rebuilding of agreeable connections. It is particularly pertinent for the early transitional phase of asymmetric conflicts, as a methodology for enabling grievance gatherings searching for valuable and effective approaches to accomplish equity, human rights and democracy without a plan of action to use violence. Albeit peaceful activity has additionally been supported as a national system of regular citizen based safeguard and deterrence against outside hostility (Roberts 1967).

Although Gandhi gives important details of peace education, he is better suited for filling in as an impetus testing us to reevaluate our perspectives of violence and nonviolence. Such a reevaluating, widening, and extending of our suspicions, ideas, and perspectival introductions can profoundly affect how we approach peace education. Gandhi obviously is extremely worried about violence in the more normal feeling of plain physical violence. He commits impressive regard for distinguishing such violence, attempting different ways to deal with conflict determination, and giving peaceful choices. This is clear in his numerous works and battles coordinated at war, obvious fear mongering, flare-ups of class and standing violence, and Hindu-Muslim mutual psychological oppression. In any case, for Gandhi, such genuine plain violence constitutes just a little piece of the violence that must be tended to by peace education.

Gandhi's way to deal with education stresses both the multidimensional idea of violence and the basic viciousness of the norm. Instructive violence cannot be isolated from semantic, financial, mental, social, political, religious, and different types of violence. These many measurements of violence commonly fortify each other, and give the topic and test for peace education. For instance, dialect, inside or outside the classroom, can fill in as a vicious weapon used to control, mortify, scare, threaten, mistreat, misuse, and overwhelm other individuals. "Peaceful" circumstances, free from basic violent clashes, might be characterized by profound mental violence. In his investigation of what "ordinary" was, Gandhi much of the time dissects how the structures, qualities, and objectives of such instructive models incurred extraordinary mental and social viciousness on colonized Indians. Not at all like most savants and other people who receive moral and otherworldly methodologies, has Gandhi put an essential accentuation on fundamental material needs and the "ordinary" financial condition. Over and over, he

utilizes "violence" as synonymous with abuse. He was mindful to unequal, unstable, violence influenced relations in which a few, who have riches, capital, and other material assets, can abuse and command those lacking such financial influence. Gandhi relates to the situation of starving and devastated individuals and with the predicament of laborers, specialists, and other people who are impaired and overwhelmed. He stresses that such a financial condition is not the aftereffect of heavenly plan or a permanent law of nature, it includes human-caused persecution, misuse, mastery, shamefulness, and enduring. Clearly, joining such worries of monetary conditions widens and fundamentally changes the idea of peace education. Gandhi presents that peace education must emphasize the developmental preparing and socialization of youthful youngsters. A great many people don't consider colleges and classroom instructing as violent, however Gandhi contends that "typical" college training is exceptionally rough, regarding both multidimensional violence and the violence of the norm<sup>5</sup>.

### **Gandhi's influence on Western thought**

Gandhi is recognized globally as an exemplar of utilizing nonviolent techniques to implement social change. One of the most valuable contributions of Gandhi's approach to violence is that it has been embedded in Western thought and broadened its focus.

Martin Luther King's name has become undoubtedly linked to that of Gandhi, but the African American interest in Gandhi much precedes King<sup>6</sup>.

---

<sup>5</sup> See for more details: Douglas Allen, Mahatma Gandhi on Violence and Peace Education: *Philosophy East and West*, Vol. 57, No. 3, Ninth East-West Philosophers' Conference (Jul., 2007), pp. 290-310

<sup>6</sup> This description of African American views of Gandhi relies heavily on Sudarshan Kapur, *Raising Up a Prophet: The African-American Encounter with Gandhi* (Boston, 1992). W. E. B. Du Bois quoted E. Franklin Frazier; see "The Negro and Non-Resistance," *Crisis*, 27 (May 1924),

In the activities of A. Philip Randolph, James Farmer, and Bayard Rustin, though at a closer approximation of how Gandhi's ideas would be diffused among black Americans to create an activist ethics before King's full-bodied embrace of satyagraha in the decade from the mid-1950s until his assassination appeared to claim Gandhi's prescient observation in 1936 that it may be through the African Americans that the pure message of nonviolence will be delivered to the world. "King would deliver his most famous sermon, would have agreed with the prospective assessment that it was the most significant civil rights demonstration since Gandhi led the Indians to freedom (D'Emilio 2003, 54). The entire Gandhian apparatus was centered in the idea of self-suffering, and King remained true to the ideal. He often cited Gandhi, "Rivers of blood may have to flow before we gain our freedom, but it must be our blood Stride towards Freedom and Where Do We Go from Here? boldly set out his conviction that in Gandhi's life and struggle were to be found the cues that African Americans could follow with success" (Lal 2009, 09).

Sudarshan (1998) a historian who has delved deeply into the African American "encounter with Gandhi" reaches much stronger conclusions, arguing that the receptivity to Gandhi among early black leaders germinated in the following generation's heady embrace of Gandhian strategies of nonviolent resistance. From Thurman, and the faculty at Howard, James Farmer, one of the principal architects of the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE), whose members consciously dressed "themselves in the garb of Gandhian philosophy" as they embraced a spiritual nonviolent politics, imbibed the teachings of Gandhi" (D'Emilio 2003, 54).

---

58-59. On discussions of colonialism among African Americans, see Von Eschen, *Race against Empire*, 31-32. King's indebtedness to earlier black and white interpreters and popularizers of Gandhi, see Miller, *Voice of Deliverance*, 86-111.

Gandhi had established contemporary political reasoning, by accounting for the "obligations" which would put him at extraordinary chances with activists and spectators for whom the idea of obligations is not even remotely part of their political vocabulary. Gandhi's unfazed suspicion towards history outfits a significantly more sensational case of his disavowal of the liberal customs of learning of the cutting edge west and of the classes of thought marshaled by current information frameworks.

One of the many people whose lives were influenced by Gandhi's example was Mexican-American social justice advocate Cesar Chavez. He was one of the 20th century's most admired opponents of socio-economic, racial, gender, and anti-immigrant discrimination. From a very early age, Chavez found inspiration and guidance in the writings of Gandhi, and later in life was able to adapt Gandhi's principles of non-violence and civil disobedience to the context of the struggle for farm workers' rights. Like Gandhi, Cesar Chavez's decades-long immersion in a struggle to overcome systematized injustice convinced him of the central importance of applying the values of respect, fairness and compassion to all, without qualification, and without prejudice. Throughout this long struggle for equality and civil rights, Chavez strictly followed Gandhi's principles of non-violence.

Nelson Mandela a firm believer of Gandhi's nonviolent ways of protesting, took into consideration how he could never reach the standard of morality, simplicity, and love for the poor set by Gandhi. In addition, he also recognized had once said that "Gandhi was a man that didn't have many weaknesses which was one of the significant reasons that made him great. Gandhi remained committed to nonviolence; I followed the Gandhian strategy for as long as I could, but then there came a point in our struggle when the brute force of the oppressor could no longer be countered through

passive resistance alone” (Pal 2003, 23). Gandhi and Mandela both used nonviolent acts to secure major political achievements, which illustrations world once again that Mandela followed his path. Both leaders have been recognized for their drastic improvement in world peace as people now look up to Mandela as well both men embraced unity, brotherhood and hope as cornerstones of their views of humanity. In both cases, inner revelations guided them to adopt non-violent approaches to social change, projecting deeply held personal beliefs for the sake of mass change. In South Africa, particularly, the brutal methodologies of the African National Congress (ANC) were considered piece of and corresponding to the conflicts being pursued, to a great extent through peaceful strategies, in the townships (Schock 2005, 158).

Not many nonviolent campaigns have remained altogether steady with a strict nonviolent protocol. Much of the time, nonviolence has been utilized to different degrees in mix with more traditional styles of asymmetric battle. For example, in Burma, Chile, the Philippines and Nepal, equipped and peaceful protection continued in synchronization. In addition, there is a typical example among a few noteworthy nonviolent campaigns of turning to guerrilla strategies when nonviolence is esteemed unsuccessful. This was the situation in Palestine and in addition in Kosovo, where a noteworthy common protection campaign all through the late 1980s and mid 1990s was totally dissolved with the ascent of the Kosovo Liberation Army, which prompt changes in approach by the Yugoslav government and the global group.

## **Nonviolence and Legitimate Social Change in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century**

Towards the start of the twentieth century, with Gandhi's rebellious battles in South Africa and India, massed peaceful activity rose as a reasonable method for political and social change. While cases of peaceful activity can be found all through the twenty first century, as Gene Sharp whose magnum opus was *The Politics of Non-Violence* records, just in the most recent century has peaceful activity rolled out noteworthy commitments to political improvement (Stephon & Chenoweth 2008,20). In later decades, the Gandhian technique for vital peaceful activity was connected and developed in a developing number of nations.

Cases of major non-violence victories are numerous in the twenty first century. The energy of peaceful protection was shown significantly in mid-2011 in the unarmed upheavals of Tunisia and Egypt, as a huge number of individuals filled the boulevards to oust dug in fascisms. The "velvet unrest" of Central and Eastern Europe in the late 1980s cut down the Berlin Wall and cleared away socialist administrations over the locale (Anders 2013, 340). The "general population control" development of the Philippines finished the tyranny of Ferdinand Marcos in 1986 (Sherrill, 2006). Peaceful protection was definitive in the last phases of the South African opportunity development that finished politically-sanctioned racial segregation. Peaceful developments cleared through Latin America in late decades, finishing military fascism in Chile and democratizing governments all through the landmass. Peaceful power prompted the toppled of Slobodan Milošević in Serbia in 2000 and was felt in the Rose, Orange, and Tulip "unrests" of Georgia, Ukraine, and Kyrgyzstan in 2003-2005 (Kotsovilis, 2012). Mass common defiance in Nepal finished the government and reestablished democracy in 2006 (Lawoti, 2012). The techniques for peaceful protection

have realized noteworthy political change and social change on each mainland.

Late experimental examinations affirm the prevalence of peaceful activity as a technique for accomplishing noteworthy social change. An examination distributed in 2008 in *International Security* inspected 323 verifiable cases of protection campaigns over a traverse of more than one hundred years to decide if violent or peaceful techniques work better in accomplishing political change (Stephan & Chenoweth 2008, 20). Each case included an escalated conflict, once in a while enduring quite a while, in which major sociopolitical developments attempted to increase particular concessions from government adversaries. The investigation by Stephan and Chenoweth (2008) utilized the most thorough insightful techniques to analyze deliberately the key effect of violent and peaceful strategies for political battle. The outcomes conclusively approved the more noteworthy adequacy of peaceful activity. The discoveries demonstrate that peaceful strategies were twice as viable as rough means in making progress in real protection battles. In the cases analyzed, peaceful means were effective 53% of the time, contrasted with 26% when violence was utilized (Chenoweth 2008, 21). Furthermore, the key factor in clarifying this outcome, as indicated by Stephan and Chenoweth (2008) is that peaceful campaigns are better ready to withstand the constraint that unavoidably faces real protection battles, and may even make such suppression advantageous for them. At the point when the adversary violently curbs a trained non-violent campaign, the peaceful resisters may profit politically. This is the thing that César Chávez distinguished as the "peculiar science" of peaceful activity. At whatever point the enemy confers a crooked demonstration against peaceful nonconformists, said Chávez, "we get ten times paid back in benefits"(Sandoval 1997, 114). Unjustified suppression against restrained

peaceful activity can start a thoughtful response among outsiders and in the positions of the adversary. This may start steadfastness moves and increment bolster for the peaceful campaigners, while undermining the authenticity of the enemy.

Above variables explain how Gandhi`s metaphysics of non-violence comprehends a maximal and all-inclusive dismissal of violence and war by displaying authentic occasions which happened in various regions.

The developing suitability of nonviolent options to war is established in the rise of new potential outcomes for settling and changing conflicts. The improvement of new learning and practice for the anticipation of violent clashes requires an expanded elucidation of the simply war classification of "final resort." If elective methods for settling differences and staying away from violence are accessible, this changes the ethical math of war and takes out the support for falling back on outfitted violence in practically every situation. The rising components of contention change and vital peace building show that gatherings to a contention can discover a method for settling differences on the off chance that they are truly attracted.

Gene Sharp (1973) contended that peaceful activity has nothing to do with religious or good standards. It is just an ideal type of political activity with critical down to business focal points. It works superior to violence and is a more viable and less expensive method for accomplishing social change. Sharp recognizes the significance of education and a readiness to give up. He perceives that misery can be a method for beating lack of concern and justification, yet he rejects the dispute that religious standards of pacifism are essential elements of viable peaceful activity.

Democratic peace hypothesis has been approved by observational investigations demonstrating a solid relationship amongst democracies and peace. Developing popularity based social orders never take up arms against each other. As Bruce Russett and others have demonstrated, techniques to progress unpretentious democracies can counteract war. Empirical investigations likewise affirm the connection amongst peace and monetary reliance: increased exchange streams between countries are related with lessened recurrence of war (Kriesberg 2003, 141). Solid experimental confirmation additionally demonstrates that states taking an interest together in universal organizations are less inclined to take part in military threats toward each other.

Worldwide organizations collaboration in taking an interest in states as well as participate in an extensive variety of peacemaking endeavors in globally weak areas. The United Nations (UN) is most dynamic in such manner, and its engagement in the interest of conflict counteractive action and peacemaking has duplicated significantly since the finish of the cold war. Since 1990, as per an investigation by the Human Security Center, UN preventive discretion missions have extended six fold, peacekeeping operations have quadrupled, and the utilization of focused assents has expanded strongly (Human Security Center, Human Security Report 2005). A RAND Corporation ponder found that a considerable lot of these UN peace building missions are successful in (Dobbins, 2005), bringing about legitimate social change.

Nongovernmental gatherings and common society associations additionally take part in an extensive variety of peace building exercises, for the most part from a base up point of view. Together, these numerous endeavors at various levels aimed at avoiding conflicts are diminishing the frequency and force of

war. Worldwide press reports concentrate on the numerous disappointments of global peacemaking, yet there are likewise numerous triumphs. Universal foundations and associations are adapting more about what works in forestalling equipped violence, and their expanded engagement in emergencies around the globe has bettered and anticipated many conflicts.

## **Conclusion**

Gandhi's impact regarding political systems that do not require a metaphysical or religious establishment, depict how pacifism can be practiced in even the most socially confined settings. Besides, an examination of Gandhi's metaphysical establishment through his logic of non-violence and practice elucidates stark connections with the advanced speculations of upheavals. Real social change inside genuinely democratic social orders, obviously, is dependably nonviolent. World insurgency through world law implies establishing real world democracy without a precedent for history. This essentially involves actuating native support in overseeing as well as altering worldwide financial aspects to one that encourages all-inclusive development.

Therefore, the analysis above reveals that in order to achieve legitimate social change powers who has control over the engines of destructions have to wholly renounce their use, with full knowledge of the implications. Only then can the metaphysics of non-violence proceed in generating permanent peace.

## Reference

Amitabh, Pal. (2013) Nelson Mandela, the 'Gandhi of South Africa', Had Strong Indian Connections. *Deccan Chronicle*. PTI.

Ashman, Sam. (1997) India: Imperialism, Partition and Resistance. *International Socialism* 77

Brown, J.M. (1989) *Gandhi: Prisoner of Hope*, New Haven: Yale University Press.

Aslund, Anders. (2013) *How Capitalism Was Built: The Transformation of Central and Eastern Europe*. Cambridge University Press.

Bond, Doug. (1994) Nonviolent Direct Action and the Diffusion of Power in: Paul Wehr, Heidi Burgess and Guy Burgess (eds). *Justice without Violence*. Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 59-79.

Cortright, David. (2011) Toward Realistic Pacifism: John Howard Yoder and the Theory and Practice of Nonviolent Peacemaking. *The Conrad Grebel Review* 29, no. 3.

D'Emilio, John. (2003) *Lost Prophet: The Life and Times of Bayard Rustin*. New York: Free Press

Dobbins, James. (2005) *The UN's Role in Nation-Building: From the Congo to Iraq*, Santa Monica: RAND Corporation.

Doke, J.J. (1959) *An Indian Patriot in South Africa*, Sarvaseva Sangh Prakashan, Varanasi.

Fischer, Louis. (1950) *The Life of Mahatma Gandhi*. New York: Harper & Row.

Gandhi, M.K. (1921) *The secret of swaraj*. New York: B.W. Huebsch.

Gandhi, M.K. (1969) *An Autobiography, The Story of my Experiments with Truth* Navajivan Publishing House, Ahmedabad.

Human Security Center. (2005) *Human Security Report 2005: War and Peace in the 21st Century*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Kapur, Sudarshan (1992) *Raising Up a Prophet: The African-American Encounter with Gandhi*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Kriesberg, Louis. (2003) *Constructive Conflicts: From Escalation to Resolution*, 2nd ed. Lanham: Rowman and Littlefield.

Kotsovilis, S. D. (2012) *Six Degrees of Revolution: Political Networks, Diffusion Mechanisms and Mobilization in Collective Action Against Competitive Authoritarian Regimes* (Doctoral dissertation, McGill University).

Lawoti, M. (2012) Ethnic politics and the building of an inclusive state. *Nepal in transition: From people's war to fragile peace*, 129-152.

Sandoval, Ricardo. (1997) *The Flight in the Fields: Cesar Chavez and the Farmworkers Movement*. Ed. Diana Hembree, New York: Harcourt Brace.

Sarkar, Sumit. (1983) *Modern India*. Madras: Macmillan India Limited.

Schock, Kurt. (2005) *Unarmed Insurrections: People Power Movements in Nondemocracies*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Sharp, Gene. (1973) *The Politics of Nonviolent Action*. Boston: Porter Sargent.

Sharp, Gene. (2005) *Waging Nonviolent Struggle: 20th Century Practice and 21st Century Potential*. Boston: Porter Sargent.

Sherrill, C. (2006) Promoting Democracy: Results of Democratization Efforts in the Philippines. *Asian Affairs: An American Review*, 32(4), 211-230.

Sheshrao, Chavan. (2002) *Mahatma Gandhi: Man, of the Millennium*, K. S Bharathi. Publisher, S. Chand Limited.

Smith, Rupert. (2006) *The Utility of Force: The Art of War in the Modern World*. London: Penguin Books.

Stephan.M.J and Chenoweth Eric. (2008) Why Civil Resistance Works: The Logic of Nonviolent Conflict. *International Security*. 33, No.1

Read, Anthony and Fisher, David. (1997) *The Proudest Day: India's Long Road to Independence*. New York: W.W. Norton.

Richard, L. (2006) *Gandhi's experiments with truth: essential writings by and about Mahatma Gandhi*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.

Roberts, Adam. (ed.) (1967) *The Strategy of Civilian Defence: Non-violent Resistance to Aggression*. London: Faber and Faber.

Tagore, Rabindranath (1919) *The Home and the World*. Madras: Macmillan India Limited.

Tolstoy, Leo. (1987) *Writings on Civil Disobedience and Nonviolence*. Philadelphia: New Society Publishers.

Vinay, Lal. (2009) Gandhi's West, the West's Gandhi *New Literary History*, Vol. 40, No. 2, *India and the West*, pp. 281-313.

Yoder, John Howard. (1955) Reinhold Niebuhr and Christian Pacifism, *Mennonite Quarterly Review* 29.2