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Abstract

Transportation is a strong factor in terms of economic development. As well as also having a great influence on regional integration. South Asia has a rich history of trade through sea. Thus ports constitute important economic activities in the region. This paper mainly exposes the role of the efficiency of harbors in trade performances. An extended version of gravity model is used to analyze the correlation of transport infrastructure and exports of South Asia. For the purpose of analysis in this paper, all eight South Asian countries are identified as exporters and selected 25 countries as the partnering countries. Estimated results show that the distance between two countries has negative impact on trade. Country size measured in terms of GDP has positive effect on trade. It is also observed that the compliance time in both reporting country as well as the partner country has a significant influence on trade. Higher compliance time discourages trade. Based on this finding, this study explores the impacts of OBOR on SAARC trade. It is anticipated that the OBOR expands trade through its impact on reducing compliance time.
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1. Introduction

This paper explores one of the non-conventional determinants of international trade. Namely the relationship between exports and efficiency in trade measured in terms of compliance time to trade regulations. This study assumes that the compliance time is determined by three factors; trade regulations, level of good governance and technological innovations. More stringent regulations and corrupted bureaucratic practices increase compliance time and more efficient technological innovations reduce the compliance time. Higher compliance time is identified as a deterrent for free trade. Therefore, it is postulated that the compliance time and volume of trade are negatively correlated. An extended gravity model is estimated using compliance time of export country and partner country as determinants of export from SAARC regional countries.
For modeling purposes, only SAARC countries are selected as exporters with 25 partner countries including also SAARC countries.

Findings of this study are interpreted in the context of emerging One Belt One Road (OBOR) initiative. More specifically, it is assumed that the OBOR investments on transport will increase efficiency of world trade by reducing time and cost of transport of goods from one country to another country for the purpose of the analysis in this study. As a result of reduced time and cost trade is encouraged. In order to capture the effect of increased transportation efficient, gravity model is estimated with transportation efficiency as an explanatory variable in the gravity model.

2. The Model

Tinbergen invented the gravity model to determine the relationship between bilateral trade and GDP, distance, border and other factors that affect the trade flow. Initially, this concept is based on the Newton’s law of gravity. Thus the stranded model estimated that trade between countries is proportional to the gross domestic product of both countries while inversely relate to the distance. However, the theoretical equation of the model is developed over time. Following the method adopted according to the original work of Tinbergen (1962) and Anderson (1979), initial model of gravity model is specified as follows\(^1\).

\[
\ln E_{ij} = \alpha + \beta \ln D_{ij} + \lambda_1 \ln Y_i + \lambda_2 \ln Y_j + \lambda_3 \ln P_i + \lambda_4 P_j + \varepsilon_{ij}
\]  

Where, \(E_{ij}\) stands for Exports from country \(i\) to \(j\), \(D_{ij}\) represents Distance from country \(i\) to country \(j\). \(Y_i\) and \(Y_j\) in the model represent GDP of export country and GDP of import country respectively and \(P_i\) and \(P_j\) represent population of two countries. According to Newton’s principle gravity is mainly depends on 2 factors; Distance between the two objects and their size. Closer the distance and larger in size the two objects are strongly attached to each other. Following this theory, Tinbergen (1962) used it to describe bilateral trade pattern of the world where, distance is measured in terms of distance between two countries and size is measured in terms of size of the economy. In the literature, size of the economy is measured in terms of several variables such as GDP, GDP, GDP, GDP,

\(^1\) At the estimation stage Population variables were dropped due to heavy multicolinearity problem.
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Population and Land area. According to Newton theory and Tinbergen interpretation in trade context, Distance should have negative impact on trade and all the size variables should have positive impact. Therefore, in the above equation, $\beta$ is negative and all $\lambda$s are positive.

Following the conceptual framework, in order to capture the effect of compliance cost on trade two variables are identified; Time to handle container and Cost to handle a container. Adding the two variables to the initial gravity model following equation is defined.

$$\ln E_{ij} = \alpha + \beta \ln D_{ij} + \lambda_1 \ln Y_i + \lambda_3 \ln Y_j + \lambda_2 \ln P_i + \lambda_4 P_j + \delta_1 \ln T_i + \delta_2 \ln T_j + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (2)$$

According to this equation, whether compliance cost affects trade negatively is measured by the size and sign of two $\delta$ coefficients of the equation (2). It is anticipated that the two $\delta$ coefficients are negative and statistically significant.

Equation (2) within the given theoretical context is sufficient to have a general understanding about the effect of regulations on trade. We are also interested in identifying the effects of trade compliances on trade between SAARC countries. In this model it is captured in terms of a dummy interactive term of $\ln T_i$ and $\ln T_j$ variables with dummy representing SAARC countries.

For the purpose of the analysis in this research only SAAR member countries are selected as Export countries. Import countries comprises with all SAARC countries and other countries with significant trade (import from SAARC) with SAARC.

3. Descriptive Statistics and Correlation Matrix

This section of the paper report key descriptive statistics of the variables used for the purpose of the analysis. Table 1 presents the regional composition of volume of imports from SAARC countries.
Table 1: Trade Performance of SAARC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Overall Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAARC</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASEAN</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>1,507</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td>1,297</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>4,622</td>
<td>8,849</td>
<td>8,830</td>
<td>12,055</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>2,411</td>
<td>2,362</td>
<td>2,504</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEC</td>
<td>1,509</td>
<td>2,552</td>
<td>2,084</td>
<td>2,879</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>1,687</td>
<td>1,441</td>
<td>1,822</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author, Based on World Integrated Trade Solution

First row of Table 1 represents average export within SAARC region. Other rows represent the SAARC exports to countries belong other regional cooperation or regional blocks. However, it should be noted that the data representing other regional blocs do not represent the entire block due to the selection process for this study. For this exercise only the countries with significant volume of exports from SAARC countries are selected. For example, from the European Union only two countries are in this sample (The UK and Germany). Statistics shown in Table 1 indicate that the USA, the EU and the OPEC have become the most significant export destinations for the SAARC on average.

Table 2 presents the correlation among the variables used in this exercise.

Where, E is the value of Export, D is the Distance between reporting country (exporter) and partner country (importer). X variables measure attributes of partner countries and Z variables measure the same attributes of reporting countries. Subscripts 1, 2 and 3 are respectively representing GDP, Population and Time to import (export). This is the estimated time required to comply with all procedures required to import (export) goods. Time is measured in terms of days.

First column of the table shows correlation coefficient between the dependent variable and independent variables.

---

2 For this study only countries to which SAARC exports in significant volumes are included.

3 Distance is measured in KMs.
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These correlation coefficients represent partial relation between the dependent variable and independent variables.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>lnE</th>
<th>lnD</th>
<th>X₁</th>
<th>X₂</th>
<th>X₃</th>
<th>Z₁</th>
<th>Z₂</th>
<th>Z₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lnE</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnD</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X₁</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X₂</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X₃</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z₁</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z₂</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z₃</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Calculated using World Bank Data

All other cells represent the linear relationship between explanatory variables. Higher correlation between explanatory variables is identified as the multicollinearity problem. Correlation matrix above shows that there are high correlation between Distance (lnD) and GDP of importing country (X₁), GDP and Population of importing country (X₂) and GDP of exporting country (Z₁) and Population of exporting country (Z₂). Whether the level of multicollinearity is at harmful level can be determined on the VIF (Variance Inflation Factor)⁴.

4. Model Estimation

Table 3 presents the regression results of Gravity model. The model is estimated at three levels. First the Base Gravity model is presented. This includes only the key variables prescribed in literature.

Second model has included the log value of cost of container handling in SAARC countries. The third model introduces interactive terms of log Cost with two regional cooperation dummies (SAARC and ASEAN).

⁴ \[ VIF_k = \frac{1}{1 - R_k^2} \] Where, VIFₖ is the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) of kᵗʰ explanatory variable and Rₖ is the multiple correlation of kᵗʰ explanatory variable with other explanatory variables. The rule of thumb is that the VIF greater than 4 is alarming.
In terms of adjusted $R^2$ and F stats all the models are good in terms of their explanatory power. All the models explain over 50 percent of total variation of the dependent variable. The Root MSE is around 2 for all the models. The Root MSE is a measure of efficiency and unbiasedness of the models. It is stable around some value across all the models indicate that with the changes of model unbiasedness and efficiency are unaffected.

All the t-values are greater than 2 indicating that all the egression coefficients are statistically significant and all VIF values are less than 4. This indicates that the multicolinearity problem is not at serious level\(^5\).

All the key variables in Base Gravity model are with expected signs. Distance between two countries discourages export. This indicates that on average more trade takes place between close by countries. Log GDP of reporting country (exporter) and partner country (importer) show positive correlation. These variables measure the distance and size effects on gravity. This is consistent with Newton’s principle and all the empirical estimates of gravity model.

In addition to the base variables of gravity model, two more variables are added to Model 2. Namely, Time to comply with export procedures in exporting country and Time to comply with import procedures in importing countries are added.

According to the conceptual framework, it is expected that OBOR increases the efficiency of trade facilitation. The efficiency of trade facilitation is measured in terms of time to comply export and import procedures. Log of exports is negatively related with both variables indicating that export is discouraged with longer time to comply with procedures. It is observed that the compliance time of exports has greater effect on trade ($-1.81$) than the effect of import procedures ($-0.81$). All the coefficients of the models estimated can be interpreted as point elasticity coefficients.

\(^5\) Variables with high VIF values are excluded from the model.
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Table 4.3: Gravity Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coef.</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>VIF</th>
<th>Coef.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>VIF</th>
<th>Coef.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base Gravity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log Distance</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>-4.53</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>-6.12</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log GDP (Partner Country)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>9.27</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log GDP (Reporting Country)</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>16.06</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log of Time to comply with import regulations</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log of Time to comply with export regulations</td>
<td>-1.81</td>
<td>-3.55</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
<td>-4.62</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive terms of Time to import and SAARC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>20.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive term of Time to export and SAARC</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-41.45</td>
<td>-12.18</td>
<td>-23.68</td>
<td>-4.80</td>
<td>-28.68</td>
<td>-5.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R squared</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>103.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root MSE</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Author
Following the conceptual framework, this suggests that the compliance time will decrease with OBOR through its investments in technological know-how and through efficient practices in harbor handling.

Interactive terms of SAARC with two compliance time variables are added to the model. This is to explore the difference of the OBOR impact on within SAARC trade and trade of SAARC with rest of the world. It is found that only the SAARC interactive term with export compliance time is statistically significant. Its positive sign (1.05) indicates that the effect of compliance time to export procedures is less for within SAARC trade than that for the trade with outside SAARC.

5. Interpretation of Results

Results of the gravity model estimated in Section 4 are fully consistent with the theoretical expectations of the principle of gravity by Newton. According to Newton gravity is greater when the objects are closer and larger in size. The adoption of Newton’s gravity principle to international trade suggests that the countries located closer engage in trade than the distance countries and larger countries will have more trade. The Base Gravity model reported in Table 3 above is consistent with this theoretical expectation. Subsequent models are constructed such that the previous model is nested in the subsequent model. For example, Base Gravity model is nested in Model 2 and Model 2 is nested in Model 3. Adjusted R² and F statistics reported in Table 3 under each model show that the addition of variables has improved the explanatory power of subsequent models significantly. These indicate that the proxy measures of OBOR effects on trade facilities and their interactive terms with a dummy representing SAARC countries have significant influence on the explanatory power of the gravity equation.
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Using Model 2, Table 4: summarizes the compliance time elasticity measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Within SAARC</th>
<th>Outside SAARC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance elasticity</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size elasticity: Reporting Country</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size elasticity: Partner Country</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance time to export</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance time to import</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total compliance time</td>
<td>-2.46</td>
<td>-3.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own calculations using Regression results in Table 4.3

According to the elasticity coefficients reported in Table 4, each one percent increase (decrease) of distance between reporting (export) country and partner (import) country the trade is decreased (increased) by 1.22 percent\(^6\).

Table 4 reports 2 size elasticity coefficients; reporting country and partner country. It is noted that the size elasticity of reporting (exporting) country is significantly greater than that of partner (importing) country; larger countries have greater potential of export as compared to the export potential of smaller countries.

When, compliance time for export procedures reduces (increases) by one percent, the trade within SAAR is decreased (increased) SAARC by 1.53 percent. The same will have 2.58 percent impact on SAARC trade with rest of the world. Total compliance time elasticity in the third row is estimated by adding the numbers in first two rows.

Each one percent increase (decrease) of compliance time for import procedures will decrease (increase) SAARC trade by 0.93 percent. This is equal for both within and outside trade with SAARC.

Assuming that the OBOR will reduce compliance time for trade, it is anticipated that the OBOR initiative will have positive impact on trade in the SAARC region. It is clearly noted that OBOR initiative will have larger impact on SAARC with outside SAARC countries than within SAARC trade. According to the last row of Table 4, each one

\(^6\) When the distance elasticity coefficient was decomposed into two as within SAARC trade and outside SAARC trade it was found that the distance elasticity for within SAARC is greater than that for outside SAARC trade.
percent decrease of compliance time due to OBOR will increase within SAARC trade by 2.46 percent and SAARC trade with rest of the world by 3.51 percent.

6. Summary and Conclusions

Using the aggregate data available from World Bank Open data bases and World Integrated Trade Solution, this study estimated the gravity model to describe the export pattern of SAARC countries. Therefore the reporting countries are only SAARC countries. However, partner countries include all SAARC countries and other major partner countries.

Estimated results show that the distance between two countries has negative impact on trade. Country size measured in terms of GDP has positive effect on trade. It is also observed that the compliance time in both reporting country as well as the partner country has a significant influence on trade. Higher compliance time discourages trade.

Using interactive terms of SAARC dummy and compliance time variables it is clearly observed that the compliance time effect becomes higher when SAARC countries engage in export with rest of the world.

A key assumption of this exercise is that OBOR will reduce the compliance time. Therefore, it is concluded that the OBOR will increase exports from SAARC countries. Therefore, the main hypothesis of this exercise that OBOR enhances trade is accepted by this study.
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